## Filed 2/26/13 by Clerk of Supreme Court IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

	2013 ND 22	
State of North Dakota,		<ul><li>Plaintiff and Appellee</li></ul>
v.		
Kawo Flah,		Defendant and Appellant
	No. 20120357	_
Appeal from the Di District, the Honorable Bru		— ounty, South Central Judicial

AFFIRMED.

Per Curiam.

Dawn Marie Deitz, Assistant State's Attorney, 514 East Thayer Avenue, Bismarck, ND 58501, for plaintiff and appellee; submitted on brief.

Benjamin C. Pulkrabek, 402 1st Street NW, Mandan, ND 58554-3118, for defendant and appellant.

## **State v. Flah No. 20120357**

## Per Curiam.

- [¶1] Kawo Flah appeals a district court judgment of conviction for possession of drug paraphernalia after a jury trial. Flah argues the prosecutor improperly vouched for the truth and veracity of the testimony of two police officers. We affirm under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(7). <u>State v. Clark</u>, 2004 ND 85, ¶ 9, 678 N.W.2d 765 (a prosecutor's closing argument may properly draw reasonable conclusions and argue permissible inferences from the evidence).
- [¶2] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J. Daniel J. Crothers Mary Muehlen Maring Carol Ronning Kapsner Dale V. Sandstrom